

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARELL MARTIN WEATHERS,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID MCDONALD, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-00133 SCR P

ORDER

Plaintiff is incarcerated in county jail and proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 14, 2025, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. ECF No. 20. Pursuant to the undersigned's order dated December 12, 2024, defendants' motion for summary judgment shall be briefed in accordance with Local Rule 230(l). ECF No. 25 at 3, ¶ 8. Rule 230(l) states that opposition to a motion must be filed "not more than twenty-one (21) days after the date of service of the motion," and that "[f]ailure . . . to file an opposition or a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions."

More than twenty-one days have passed since defendants served their motion and plaintiff has not filed a response. Plaintiff will be ordered to file a response to the motion and a statement explaining why he was not able to do so in a timely manner. If plaintiff fails to file a response to

1 the motion or fails to show good cause for his failure to file it on time, the undersigned may deem
2 this failure a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion. See Local Rule 230(l).

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty-one (21) days of the date of
4 this order, plaintiff shall file the following:

5 1. A response to defendants' motion for summary judgment that complies with
6 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and Local Rule 260; and

7 2. A response to this order explaining why plaintiff was unable to file a timely
8 response to defendants' motion for summary judgment.

9 DATED: December 17, 2025

10
11 
12 SEAN C. RIORDAN
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28